



1. Do you favor surplusing the available air rights of the Civic Core site that have not and never will be needed for the public facilities (the new library, community center, revitalized open spaces, and parking) so that it can be used for housing consistent with the [Chevy Chase Small Area Plan](#) and zoning regulations?

Yes. When the District controls land that will never be fully needed for its public purpose, using it for housing is the right call, especially when we are facing an affordable housing crisis. Further, any development should be consistent with the Small Area Plan.

2. Given the ten-year process of planning and community engagement that has taken place (described in detail on our [website](#)), do you support acting this year to approve the project so that it can move forward expeditiously?

The engagement process here has been robust, and I know there are additional opportunities for public input as the project moves forward. I'd want to review where things stand before committing to a specific timeline. That said, the District needs to do better at moving projects to a decision, whether that's approval, modification, or disapproval, on a reasonable timeline. Prolonged uncertainty discourages the kind of investment the District needs.

3. Do you support the mix and amount of affordable housing that the Deputy Mayor for Planning and Development's selected vendor, Risk Valley, originally proposed – one-third deeply affordable housing (30% to 50% MFI), one-third workforce housing (80% MFI), and one-third market-rate housing based on a total of 209 units? If not, what amount and degree of affordable housing do you support at the site?

I haven't had a chance to dig into the specifics of the original proposal yet, so I cannot say whether I support as is or would propose a different mix. What I'll be focused on when I do is making sure there's the right balance of deeply affordable, workforce, and market-rate units.

4. Would you support a change to the draft [Future Land Use Map](#) from the current proposed "Small Scale Residential Neighborhood" in Chevy Chase to permit



multi-family units to be built so long as they are consistent with the overall look and feel of the neighborhood (e.g., through the use of form-based codes)?

Yes. I've been clear that I want to see greater density allowances across all eight wards, including in neighborhoods currently dominated by single-family zoning. Form-based codes are a sensible tool here because they govern how buildings look and relate to the street, not just how many units are inside. A well-designed duplex or small apartment building can sit on a block without looking out of place. Wards 2 and 3 have historically produced the fewest affordable units in the city, and updating the FLUM to allow more housing options, with design standards that fit the neighborhood, is a reasonable step.

Candidate: Councilmember Doni Crawford (At-Large-Independent)

Campaign Manager: DeUndre Eberhart

Contact Email: dre@donicrawford.com